

Lehmer code transforms and Mahonian statistics on permutations

Vincent VAJNOVSZKI

LE2I, Université de Bourgogne
BP 47870, 21078 Dijon Cedex, France
vvajnov@u-bourgogne.fr

February 27, 2013

Abstract

In 2000 Babson and Steingrímsson introduced the notion of vincular patterns in permutations. They shown that essentially all well-known Mahonian permutation statistics can be written as combinations of such patterns. Also, they proved and conjectured that other combinations of vincular patterns are still Mahonian. These conjectures were proved later: by Foata and Zeilberger in 2001, and by Foata and Randrianarivony in 2006.

In this paper we give an alternative proof of some of these results. Our approach is based on permutation codes which, like Lehmer's code, map bijectively permutations onto subexcedant sequences. More precisely, we give several code transforms (i.e., bijections between subexcedant sequences) which when applied to Lehmer's code yield new permutation codes which count occurrences of some vincular patterns.

1 Introduction

An alternative way to represent a permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is by its permutation code $t_1t_2\cdots t_n$, which is a subexcedant sequence. A classical example of permutation code is the Lehmer code, where each t_i is the number of entries in π larger than π_i and on its left. The inv statistic on permutations is related to Lehmer code by $\text{inv } \pi = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i$.

A code transform is a bijection from subexcedant sequences onto itself. We give several code transforms and show that most of pattern-involvement based statistics introduced in [1] are related to transforms of Lehmer code in the same way as inv is related to Lehmer code. These results are summarized in the table at the end of this paper

1.1 Permutation patterns

A permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ is a classical pattern of the permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, $k \leq n$, if there is a sequence $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq n$ such that $\pi_{i_1}\pi_{i_2}\cdots\pi_{i_k}$ is order-isomorphic to σ . Vincular patterns, introduced in [1], are generalizations of classical patterns where:

- Two adjacent letters may or may not be separated by a dash. The absence of a dash between two adjacent letters means that the corresponding letters in the permutation must be adjacent;
- Patterns may begin and/or end with square brackets. These indicate that they are required to begin at the first letter in a permutation and/or end at the last letter.

In the following patterns will be written as words over the alphabet $\{a, b, c, \dots\}$ based on the usual ordering $a < b < c \cdots$.

1.2 Mahonian statistics and pattern involvement

A *statistic* on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is an association of an integer to each permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_n$. Classical examples of statistics are INV and MAJ defined as

$$\text{INV } \pi = \text{card} \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \pi_i > \pi_j\},$$

$$\text{MAJ } \pi = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < n \\ \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}}} i.$$

A statistic ST on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is *Mahonian* if it has the same distribution as INV , that is

$$\text{card} \{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \text{ST } \pi = k\} = \text{card} \{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n \mid \text{INV } \pi = k\},$$

for any $k \geq 0$, and it is well known that MAJ is a Mahonian statistic.

For a permutation π and a set of patterns $\{\sigma, \tau, \dots\}$, we denote by $(\sigma + \tau + \cdots)\pi$ the number of occurrences of these patterns in π , and $(\sigma + \tau + \cdots)$ becomes a permutation statistic. For example

$$\text{INV } \pi = (a - b)\pi,$$

and

$$\text{MAJ } \pi = ((a - cb) + (b - ca) + (c - ba) + (ba))\pi,$$

and both statistics are Mahonian.

In order to count the number of occurrences of the pattern σ in π we introduce the notion of *pointed pattern*. A pointed pattern is the pattern σ together with a privileged element, say the ℓ th one; and we denote by $\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_\ell\cdots\sigma_k$ such a pattern. Often, when the privileged element is understood or does not matter we denote simply by $\underline{\sigma}$ a pointed pattern if the underlining permutation

is σ . With these notations, $(\sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_\ell\cdots\sigma_k)_i\pi$ denotes the number of occurrences of the pattern σ in the permutation π , where the role of σ_ℓ is played by π_i . For example, if $\pi = 245136$, then $(b - \underline{ac})_5\pi = 2$, and $(b - a\underline{c})_5\pi = 1$. Clearly,

$$(\sigma)\pi = \sum_{i=1}^n (\underline{\sigma})_i\pi$$

for any pointed pattern $\underline{\sigma}$ corresponding to σ .

1.3 Permutation codes

An integer sequence $t_1t_2\cdots t_n$ is said to be *subexcedant* if $0 \leq t_i \leq i-1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and the set of all length- n subexcedant sequences is denoted by S_n ; so $S_n = \{0\} \times \{0,1\} \times \cdots \times \{0,1,\dots,n-1\}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is in bijection with S_n , and any such bijection is called *permutation code*.

The *Lehmer code* [4] is a classical example of permutation code and it will be our starting point for the construction of other several permutation codes. This code bijectively maps each permutation onto a subexcedant sequence of same length.

Definition 1. For $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the Lehmar code $L(\pi)$ of π is the subexcedant sequence $t_1t_2\cdots t_n$ where, for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, t_i is the number of inversions (j, i) in π , that is, number of j with $\pi_j > \pi_i$ but $j < i$.

For example $L(521643) = 012023$. Some permutation codes can be obtained from pattern occurrences, and this is the case for the Lehmer code $t_1t_2\cdots t_n$ of a permutation π :

$$t_i = (b - \underline{a})_i\pi \tag{1}$$

and so $\text{inv } \pi = (b - a)\pi$. Alternatively,

$$t_i = ((b - c\underline{a}) + (c - a\underline{b}) + (c - b\underline{a}) + (b\underline{a}))_i\pi, \tag{2}$$

and $\text{inv } \pi = ((b - ca) + (c - ab) + (c - ba) + (ba))\pi$.

Let $\{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\tau}, \dots\}$ be a set of pointed patterns. If the function

$$\pi \mapsto t_1t_2\cdots t_n$$

where

$$t_i = (\underline{\sigma} + \underline{\tau} \cdots)_i\pi, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

is a permutation code, then we say that the set of patterns $\{\sigma, \tau, \dots\}$ induces a permutation code. For example, relations (1) and (2) show that the Lehmer code L is induced both by the set of patterns $\{b-a\}$ and $\{c-ba, b-ca, c-ab, ba\}$.

For each permutation code $\pi \mapsto t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ we can associate naturally a Mahonian statistic ST on $\mathfrak{S}_n$, defined by

$$\text{ST } \pi = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i.$$

In addition, if the set of patterns $\{\sigma, \tau, \dots\}$ induces a permutation code, then the statistic

$$\pi \mapsto (\sigma + \tau \cdots) \pi$$

is Mahonian. We will see that, like $\{b - a\}$ and $\{c - ba, b - ca, c - ab, ba\}$, several other patterns induce permutation codes, and so these patterns are Mahonian, see Table 1.

2 Code transforms

We call a bijection from S_n onto itself a *code transform*. Below we give six functions and we show that they are code transforms, and thus each of them applied to the Lehmer code yields still a permutation code.

Definition 2. The functions $\Delta, \Gamma, \Theta, \Lambda, \Upsilon, \Psi : S_n \rightarrow S_n$ are defined as follows. If $t = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n \in S_n$, then

- $\Delta(t) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} (t_i - t_{i+1}) \bmod i & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \\ t_n & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$
- $\Gamma(t) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ (t_{i-1} - t_i) \bmod i & \text{if } 1 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$
- $\Theta(t) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ t_{i-1} - t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq t_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n \\ t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} < t_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$
- $\Lambda(t) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq t_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n \\ i + t_{i-1} - t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} < t_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$
- $\Upsilon(t) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} i - t_i - 1 & \text{if } t_i < t_{i+1} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n \\ t_i - t_{i+1} & \text{if } t_i \geq t_{i+1} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n \\ t_n & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$
- $\Psi(t) = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is defined by $s_i = \begin{cases} t_{i+1} - t_i - 1 & \text{if } t_i < t_{i+1} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n \\ t_i & \text{if } t_i \geq t_{i+1} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n \\ t_n & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$

See Figure 1.a for several examples.

Proposition 1. *The six functions given in Definition 2 are bijections.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that each of these functions is injective, cardinality reasons complete the proof. The injectivity of Δ and Γ is routine.

In the next we consider two different sequences $t = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ and $t' = t'_1 t'_2 \cdots t'_n$ in S_n .

The injectivity of Θ and Λ . Let i be the leftmost position where t and t' differ. The sequences $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Theta(t)$ and $s'_1 s'_2 \cdots s'_n = \Theta(t')$ differ also in position i . Indeed, if $t_i \leq t_{i-1} = t'_{i-1} < t'_i$, then $s_i \leq t_{i-1} < s'_i$ and so $s_i \neq s'_i$. The other cases are equivalent or trivial, and the injectivity of Λ is similar.

The injectivity of Υ . Let i be the rightmost position where t and t' differ. The sequences $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Upsilon(t)$ and $s'_1 s'_2 \cdots s'_n = \Upsilon(t')$ differ also in position i . Indeed, if $i < n$ and $t_i \geq t_{i+1} = t'_{i+1} > t'_i$, then $s_i \leq i - 1 - t_{i+1} < s'_i$ and so $s_i \neq s'_i$. The other cases are equivalent or trivial.

The injectivity of Ψ . Let i be the rightmost position where t and t' differ. The sequences $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Psi(t)$ and $s'_1 s'_2 \cdots s'_n = \Psi(t')$ differ also in position i . Indeed, if $i < n$ and $t_i \geq t_{i+1} = t'_{i+1} > t'_i$, then $s_i \geq t_{i+1} > s'_i$ and so $s_i \neq s'_i$. The other cases are equivalent or trivial. □

It is easy to check the following.

Remark 1. *The inverse of Δ , Γ , Θ , Λ , Υ and Ψ are given below. If $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n \in S_n$, then*

- $\Delta^{-1}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = \begin{cases} s_n & \text{if } i = n \\ (t_{i+1} + s_i) \bmod i & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n, \end{cases}$
- $\Gamma^{-1}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = \begin{cases} s_1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ (t_{i-1} - s_i) \bmod i & \text{if } 1 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$
- $\Theta^{-1}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ s_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} < s_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n \\ t_{i-1} - s_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq s_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$
- $\Lambda^{-1}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ i + t_{i-1} - s_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} < s_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n \\ s_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq s_i \text{ and } 1 < i \leq n. \end{cases}$
- $\Upsilon^{-1}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = \begin{cases} s_i & \text{if } i = n \\ t_{i+1} + s_i & \text{if } t_{i+1} + s_i \leq i - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n \\ i - 1 - s_i & \text{if } t_{i+1} + s_i > i - 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n. \end{cases}$
- $\Psi^{-1}(s) = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = \begin{cases} s_i & \text{if } i = n \\ s_i & \text{if } s_i \geq t_{i+1} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n \\ t_{i+1} - s_i - 1 & \text{if } s_i < t_{i+1} \text{ and } 1 \leq i < n. \end{cases}$

3 Main results

In this section we will prove the Mahonity of some patterns. The results are stated in Theorems 1-5 and summarized in Table 1; all of them are already known [1, 2, 3]. The novelty consists in the unified approach based on permutation codes, described briefly as:

- Find a convenient set of pointed patterns $\{\underline{\sigma}, \underline{\tau}, \dots\}$ corresponding to the set of patterns $\{\sigma, \tau, \dots\}$,
- Show that for all $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ the map $\pi \mapsto t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ with $t_i = (\underline{\sigma} + \underline{\tau} + \cdots)_i \pi$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, is a permutation code based on (a transform of) the Lehmer code of π .

This technique was initiated by the author in [5] where the transform Δ is introduced. Before giving our first theorem, we need some further considerations on this transform. For a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with $L(\pi)$ its Lehmer code, we call $\Delta(L(\pi)) \in S_n$, the *McMahon code* of π . This is justified by the following result which is a consequence of Theorem 13 and Corollary 6 in [5].

Proposition 2. *If $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ is the McMahon code of $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, then $\text{MAJ } \pi = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i$.*

Also in [5] is given the next corollary, expressed here in terms of patterns involvement.

Corollary 1. *For any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, the McMahon code $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ of π is given by:*

$$s_i = \begin{cases} ((a - \underline{c}b) + (b - \underline{a}c) + (c - \underline{b}a))_i \pi & \text{if } i \neq n \\ (b - a) \pi & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

A consequence of Corollary 1 is

Corollary 2. *For any $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we have*

1. $\text{MAJ } \pi = ((a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba) + (b - a)) \pi$,
2. *If the McMahon code of π is $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$, then*

$$((a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba)) \pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i.$$

In [5, Theorem 12] is given an algorithmic meaning of the McMahon code $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ of $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$: π can be obtained from the identity $\iota = 1 2 \dots n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ by iteratively performing on ι , s_i right circular shifts of its length- i prefix, for $i = n, n-1, \dots, 2, 1$. For example, the construction of $\pi = 5 2 1 6 4 3 \in \mathfrak{S}_6$ with its McMahon code 0 1 2 2 4 3 is given in Figure 1.b.

With this algorithmic interpretation of McMahon code we have the following remark.

π	=	5 2 1 6 4 3	after s_i right circular shifts of the length- i prefix	(i, s_i)
$L(\pi)$	=	0 1 2 0 2 3	1 2 3 4 5 6	
$\Delta(L(\pi))$	=	0 1 2 2 4 3	4 5 6 1 2 3	(6, 3)
$\Gamma(L(\pi))$	=	0 1 2 2 3 5	5 6 1 2 4 3	(5, 4)
$\Theta(L(\pi))$	=	0 1 2 2 2 3	1 2 5 6 4 3	(4, 2)
$\Lambda(L(\pi))$	=	0 1 2 0 3 5	2 5 1 6 4 3	(3, 2)
$\Upsilon(L(\pi))$	=	0 0 2 3 2 3	5 2 1 6 4 3	(2, 1)
$\Psi(L(\pi))$	=	0 0 2 1 0 3	5 2 1 6 4 3	(1, 0)

(a)
(b)

Figure 1: (a) The permutation π together with its Lehmer code and its transforms. (b) The construction of $\pi = 5\,2\,1\,6\,4\,3$ from its McMahon code $s = \Delta(L(\pi)) = 0\,1\,2\,2\,4\,3$.

Remark 2. If $\sigma, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ are two permutations with their McMahon codes differing only in the last position, then $\sigma_i \neq \tau_i$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Theorem 1. The following statistic (statistic S_2 in [1, Conjecture 11]) is Mahonian

$$(a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba) + [b - a].$$

Proof. To a permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with its McMahon code $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n-1} p_n$ we associate a subexcedant sequence $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n-1} (\pi_1 - 1)$. Clearly, by the second point of Corollary 2

$$((a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba) + [b - a]) \pi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} p_i + (\pi_1 - 1).$$

Now we show that the map

$$\pi \mapsto p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{n-1} (\pi_1 - 1) \tag{3}$$

is an injection and so (by cardinality reasons) a permutation code.

Let $\sigma \neq \tau$ be two permutations in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and let i be the leftmost position where $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$ and $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$, their McMahon codes, differ. If $i < n$, then the subexcedant sequences corresponding to σ and τ (defined in the map in relation (3)) differ also in position i . If $i = n$, then by Remark 2, $\sigma_1 \neq \tau_1$ and again, the subexcedant sequences corresponding to σ and τ are different. $\square$

The reduction of a sequence of n distinct integers is the permutation in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ obtained by replacing the smallest member by 1, the second-smallest by 2, $\dots$, and the largest by n .

Remark 3. Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. If $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ is the reduction of $\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$, then

$$((a - cb) + (b - ca) + (c - ba))\pi = \text{MAJ } \tau.$$

Proof. For all $i \geq 2$, $((a - \underline{c}b) + (b - \underline{c}a) + (c - \underline{b}a))_i \pi$ equals $i - 1$ if i is a descent in π (and so, if $i - 1$ is a descent in τ) and 0 otherwise. Now, summing for all i , $2 \leq i \leq n$, the desired relation holds. $\square$

Theorem 2. The following statistic (statistic S_4 in [1, Conjecture 11]) is Mahonian

$$(a - cb) + (b - ca) + (c - ba) + [b - a].$$

Proof. For $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ let $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}$ be the reduction of $\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ and define $s = s_1s_2\cdots s_n \in S_n$ by:

- $s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1}$ is the McMahon code of τ , and
- $s_n = \pi_1 - 1$.

First, the map $\pi \mapsto s$ is a permutation code. Indeed, $s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1}s_n \in S_n$ and the prefix $s_1s_2\cdots s_{n-1}$ uniquely determines τ , which together with s_n determines π . Now we show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_i = ((a - cb) + (b - ca) + (c - ba) + [b - a])\pi. \quad (4)$$

Using Remark 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((a - cb) + (b - ca) + (c - ba))\pi &= \text{MAJ } \tau \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} s_i, \end{aligned}$$

and since $s_n = \pi_1 - 1 = [b - a]\pi$ relation (4) holds. $\square$

Theorem 3. The following statistics (defined in [1, Proposition 9] or equivalent to them) are Mahonian.

1. $\text{STAT} = (a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba) + (ba),$
2. $\text{STAT}' = (b - ac) + (b - ca) + (c - ba) + (ba),$
3. $\text{STAT}'' = (a - cb) + (c - ab) + (c - ba) + (ba).$

Proof. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and t its Lehmer code. We will use the remark that $\pi_{i-1} < \pi_i$ if and only if $t_{i-1} \geq t_i$.

1. Let $s_1s_2\cdots s_n = \Gamma(t)$. It is routine to check that

$$(b - a\underline{c})_i \pi = \begin{cases} t_{i-1} - t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq t_i \\ 0 & \text{if } t_{i-1} < t_i, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and

$$((a - c\underline{b}) + (c - b\underline{a}) + (b\underline{a}))_i \pi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq t_i \\ i + t_{i-1} - t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} < t_i, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

for $1 < i \leq n$.

Summing both relations and using the definition of Γ we have

$$((a - c\underline{b}) + (b - a\underline{c}) + (c - b\underline{a}) + (b\underline{a}))_i \pi = s_i,$$

and thus

$$((a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba) + (ba)) \pi = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

2. Let $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Theta(t)$. Similarly, we have

$$((b - c\underline{a}) + (c - b\underline{a}) + (b\underline{a}))_i \pi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq t_i \\ t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} < t_i, \end{cases}$$

for $1 < i \leq n$.

Summing this relation with (5) and using the definition of Θ we have

$$((b - a\underline{c}) + (b - c\underline{a}) + (c - b\underline{a}) + (b\underline{a}))_i \pi = s_i,$$

and thus

$$((b - ac) + (b - ca) + (c - ba) + (ba)) \pi = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

3. Now let $s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Lambda(t)$.

$$(c - a\underline{b})_i \pi = \begin{cases} t_i & \text{if } t_{i-1} \geq t_i \\ 0 & \text{if } t_{i-1} < t_i, \end{cases}$$

for $1 < i \leq n$, which together with (6) and the definition of Λ gives

$$((a - c\underline{b}) + (c - a\underline{b}) + (c - b\underline{a}) + (b\underline{a}))_i \pi = s_i,$$

and so

$$((a - cb) + (c - ab) + (c - ba) + (ba)) \pi = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i.$$

Since Γ , Θ and Λ are code transforms, it results that the three statistics are Mahonian. $\square$

Before proving our next theorem we need the following result.

Lemma 1. *For any integer n and permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ we have*

$$((b - ca) + (ba)) \pi = ((b - ac) + (b - a]) \pi.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MAJ } \pi &= ((a - cb) + (b - ca) + (c - ba) + (ba)) \pi && \text{by definition} \\ &= ((a - cb) + (b - ac) + (c - ba) + (b - a)) \pi && \text{by Corollary 2.1} \end{aligned}$$

and the result holds. $\square$

Theorem 4. *The following statistic (second statistic in [1, Conjecture 8]) is Mahonian*

$$(a - cb) + (b - ca) + (b - ca) + (ba).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with its Lehmer code $t = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ and $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Upsilon(t)$. As previously, it is easy to check that

$$((a - \underline{c}b) + (b - \underline{c}a))_i \pi = \begin{cases} i - t_i - 1 & \text{if } t_i < t_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } t_i \geq t_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(b - \underline{a}c)_i \pi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_i < t_{i+1} \\ t_i - t_{i+1} & \text{if } t_i \geq t_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i < n$.

Summing both relations and using the definition of Υ we have

$$s_i = \begin{cases} ((a - \underline{c}b) + (b - \underline{a}c) + (b - \underline{c}a))_i \pi & \text{if } i \neq n \\ (b - a] \pi & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Now, by Lemma 1 and the previous relation we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((a - cb) + (b - ca) + (b - ca) + (ba)) \pi &= ((a - cb) + (b - ac) + (b - ca) + (b - a]) \pi \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n s_i, \end{aligned}$$

and since Υ is a code transform the result holds. $\square$

Theorem 5. *The following statistic (equivalent with the third one in [1, Conjecture 8]) is Mahonian*

$$(b - ca) + (b - ca) + (c - ab) + (ba).$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ with its Lehmer code $t = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ and $s = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n = \Psi(t)$. We have

$$((b - \underline{a}c) + (c - \underline{a}b))_i \pi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t_i < t_{i+1} \\ t_i & \text{if } t_i \geq t_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(b - \underline{c}a)_i \pi = \begin{cases} t_{i+1} - t_i - 1 & \text{if } t_i < t_{i+1} \\ 0 & \text{if } t_i \geq t_{i+1}, \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq i < n$, which together with the definition of Ψ gives

$$s_i = \begin{cases} ((b - \underline{a}c) + (b - \underline{c}a) + (c - \underline{a}b))_i \pi & \text{if } i \neq n \\ (b - a] \pi & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

statistic		transform of Lehmer code (if any)
INV	$(b-a)$ $(b-ca) + (c-ab) + (c-ba) + (ba)$	
MAJ	$(a-cb) + (b-ca) + (c-ba) + (ba)$	
MAJ (Proposition 2)	$(a-cb) + (b-ac) + (c-ba) + (b-a]$	Δ
Theorem 1 (statistic S_2 in [1, Conjecture 11])	$(a-cb) + (b-ac) + (c-ba) + [b-a)$	
Theorem 2 (statistic S_4 in [1, Conjecture 11])	$(a-cb) + (b-ca) + (c-ba) + [b-a)$	
Theorem 3.1 (STAT in [1, Proposition 9])	$(a-cb) + (b-ac) + (c-ba) + (ba)$	Γ
Theorem 3.2 (STAT' in [1, Proposition 9])	$(b-ac) + (b-ca) + (c-ba) + (ba)$	Θ
Theorem 3.3 (STAT'' in [1, Proposition 9])	$(a-cb) + (c-ab) + (c-ba) + (ba)$	Λ
Theorem 4 (second statistic in [1, Conjecture 8])	$(a-cb) + (b-ca) + (b-ca) + (ba)$	Υ
Theorem 5 (third statistic in [1, Conjecture 8])	$(b-ca) + (b-ca) + (c-ab) + (ba)$	Ψ

Table 1: Pattern statistics together with their Lehmer code transforms.

Now, by Lemma 1 and the previous relation we have

$$\begin{aligned}
((b-ca) + (b-ca) + (c-ab) + (ba)) \pi &= ((b-ac) + (b-ca) + (c-ab) + (b-a]) \pi \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n s_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and since Ψ is a code transform the result holds. $\square$

References

- [1] E. Babson, E. Steingrímsson, Generalized permutation petterns and a clasification of Mahonian statistics, *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 44:Art. B44b, 18pp. (electronic), 2000.

- [2] D. Foata, D. Zeilberger, Babson-Steingrímsson statistics are indeed Mahonian (and sometimes even Euler-Mahonian), *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, **27** (2001), 390-404.
- [3] D. Foata, A. Randrianarivony, Two oiseau decompositions of permutations and their application to Eulerian calculus, *European Journal of Combinatorics*, **27** (2006), 342-363.
- [4] D. H. Lehmer, Teaching combinatorial tricks to a computer, in *Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math.*, **10** (1960), Amer. Math. Soc., 179-193.
- [5] V. Vajnovszki, A new Euler-Mahonian constructive bijection, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **159** (2011), 1453-1459.